

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 EC BRU 01958 01 OF 06 101333 Z

46

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 EURE-00 INRE-00 ADP-00 AGR-20 CEA-02 CIAE-00

COME-00 DODE-00 EB-11 FRB-02 H-02 INR-10 INT-08 L-03

LAB-06 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 AID-20 CIEP-02

SS-15 STR-08 TAR-02 TRSE-00 USIA-12 PRS-01 SAL-01

OMB-01 IO-12 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11 NEA-10 RSR-01 /221 W
----- 027153

P R 101215 Z APR 73

FM USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5021

INFO AMEMBASSY BON

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION OECD PARIS

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 1 OF 6 EC BRUSSELS 1958

E. O. 11652: N/ A

TAGS: ETRD, EEC

SUBJECT: EC COMMISSION' S MEMORANDUM ON AN OVERALL APPROACH TO THE
MULTILATERAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS

REF: A) EC BRUSSELS 1839 (NOTAL); B) EC BRUSSELS 1871 (NOTAL)

1. WE HAVE OBTAINED A COPY OF THE FINAL VERSION OF THE MEMORANDUM
FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE COUNCIL ON THE " DEVELOPMENT OF AN
OVERALL APPROACH TO TRADE IN VIEW OF THE COMING MULTILATERAL
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 EC BRU 01958 01 OF 06 101333 Z

NEGOTIATIONS IN GATT." THE MEMORANDUM WAS APPROVED BY THE EC COMMISSION ON APRIL 4 AND HAS NOW BEEN TRANSMITTED TO THE ER COUNCIL FOR ITS CONSIDERATION. THE PARIS EC SUMMIT COMMUNIQUE CALLED FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE COMMUNITY'S POSITION IN THIS RESPECT BY JULY 1, 1973.

2. ALTHOUGH THE TEXT OF THE MEMORANDUM IS LIKELY TO LEAK TO THE PRESS EVENTUALLY, IT SHOULD NOT BE RELEASED BY THE USG. THE FULL TEXT OF THE MEMORANDUM FOLLOWS:

3. BEGIN TEXT.

MEMORANDUM FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT OF AN OVERALL APPROACH TO TRADE IN VIEW OF THE COMING MULTILATERAL NEGOTIATIONS IN GATT

1. THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE EEC IN 1958 BROUGHT ABOUT AN IMPORTANT EXPANSION OF TRADE BOTH WITHIN WESTERN EUROPE AND BETWEEN THE COMMUNITY AND THE REST OF THE WORLD. THIS WAS TO SOME EXTENT DUE TO THE EFFECT OF SUCCESSIVE ROUNDS OF GATT MULTILATERAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS. BUT THE GATT SYSTEM, WHICH FREED THE POST- WAR WORLD FROM THE ARBITRARY NATURE OF THE OF THE PURELY NATIONAL TRADE POLICIES OF THE THIRTIES, CEASED TO DO JUSTICE WHEN A LARGE NUMBER OF MEDIUM- SIZED OR SMALL PARTNERS WERE CONFRONTED BY ONE OF FAR GREATER POWER. UNIVERSAL EQUALITY, AS EXPRESSED IN THE MOST- FAVOURED- NATION RULE, CONTRASTED TOO STARKLY WITH THE INEQUALITY WHICH IN PRACTICE EXISTED BETWEEN TRADING NATIONS IN TERMS OF WEIGHT AND, THEREFORE, COMMERCIAL POSSIBILITIES. HENCE THE MOVE TOWARDS FREE TRADE AREAS AND CUSTOMS UNION.

FOLLOWING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE EEC, THE INDUSTRIALISED NATIONS- PARTICULARLY EUROPE AND THE UNITED STATES - AGREED TO MUTUAL REDUCTIONS IN TRADE BARRIERS, WITHIN MODEST LIMITS IN THE DILLION ROUND, BUT ON AN UNPRECEDENTED SCALE AFTER THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS PASSED THE TRADE EXPANSION ACT (KENNEDY ROUND). THE COMMUNITY EMERGED FROM THESE NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE LOWEST CUSTOMS TARIFF OF ANY OF THE MAJOR TRADING POWERS, AMOUNTING TO HARDLY MORE THAN HALF THE AVERAGE OF THE ORIGINAL TARIFFS OF ITS MEMBER STATES.

THE PROSPECT AND SUBSEQUENT IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS VAST WORK OF LIBERALISATION, ACCOMPANIED BY ALMOST UNINTERRUPTED ECONOMIC EXPANSION WITHIN THE COMMUNITY, HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO A LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 EC BRU 01958 01 OF 06 101333 Z

REMARKABLE EXPANSION IN INTERNATIONAL TRADE. THIS IN TURN HAS

PROVIDED THE BASIS FOR A HIGH AND STABLE LEVEL OF EMPLOYMENT
AND THE RISE IN THE STANDARD OF LIVING IN RECENT YEARS -
FACTORS OF CONSIDERABLE POLITICAL SIGNIFICANCE.

IT IS NOW FOR THE ENLARGED COMMUNITY TO CONTINUE THE POLICY
OF TRADE LIBERALISATION TO WHICH THE ORIGINAL COMMUNITY MADE SO
SIGNIFICANT A DEVELOPMENT. ONLY THUS WILL THE COMMUNITY LIVE UP
TO THE INTERNATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES WHICH FLOW FROM ITS
ECONOMIC SIZE AND POWER.

2. EVEN BEFORE ENLARGEMENT, THE COMMUNITY ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS
EXPRESSED THE POLITICAL WILL TO UNDERTAKE WIDE- RANGING NEGOTIATIONS WITH ITS TRADING PARTNERS. IN DECEMBER 1971 THE COUNCIL DECLARED THAT " THE COMMUNITY IS READY (...) TO TAKE PART IN OVERALL NEGOTIATIONS ON THE BASIS OF MUTUAL ADVANTAGE AND RECIPROCITY AND REQUIRING AN EFFORT FROM ALL THE PARTICIPANTS." THIS WAS CONFIRMED BY THE " JOINT DECLARATION" WHICH ACCOMPANIED THE LIMITED AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED STATES OF 1972, WHICH AFFIRMED THAT IT WAS NECESSARY TO " BEGIN, AND GIVE ACTIVE SUPPORT TO, WIDE- RANGING MULTILATERAL NEGOTIATIONS IN GATT."

IN OCTOBER 1972, THE SUMMIT CONFERENCE INVITED THE COMMUNITY INSTITUTIONS TO DEFINE AN " OVERALL APPROACH" TO THIS NEGOTIATION BY 1 JULY 1973 AND EXPRESSED THE HOPE THAT THEY WOULD BE CONCLUDED BEFORE THE END OF 1975. IT IS TO THIS END ESSENTIAL THAT ALL THE PARTNERS SHOULD UNDERTAKE THE PREPARATIONS NECESSARY TO ENSURE THAT NEGOTIATIONS CAN BEGIN AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AFTER THE SUMMER OF THIS YEAR.

THE DOCUMENT WHICH THE COMMISSION IS NOW SUBMITTING TO THE COUNCIL SETS OUT ITS PROPOSALS FOR AN OVERALL APPROACH. SINCE THE TIME IS NOT RIPE FOR A DECISION ON ALL THOSE NEGOTIATING POINTS ON WHICH THE COMMUNITY WILL HAVE EVENTUALLY TO TAKE UP A POSITION, IT CONFINES ITSELF TO TREATING IN GENERAL TERMS THE SUBJECTS TO BE COVERED; AND THE BROAD LINES TO BE FOLLOWED TO ARRIVE AT RESULTS SATISFACTORY FOR ALL THOSE TAKING PART.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

ADP000

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 EC BRU 01958 02 OF 06 101413 Z

46

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 EURE-00 INRE-00 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11

NEA-10 RSC-01 IO-12 AGR-20 CEA-02 CIAE-00 COME-00

DODE-00 EB-11 FRB-02 H-02 INR-10 INT-08 L-03 LAB-06

NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 AID-20 CIEP-02 SS-15 STR-08

TAR-02 TRSE-00 USIA-12 PRS-01 OMB-01 RSR-01 /220 W
----- 027507

P R 101215 Z APR 73

FM USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5022

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEOBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION OECD PARIS

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 2 OF 6 EC BRUSSELS 1958

IT MUST, HOWEVER, BE CLEARLY STATED THAT THE LARGE- SCALE
INTERNATIONAL ACTION TO WHICH WE ASPIRE ON TRADE WOULD BE
SERIOUSLY JEOPARDISED IF WAYS WERE NOT FOUND TO SHIELD THE WORLD
ECONOMY FROM THE MONETARY SHOCKS AND IMBALANCES WHICH HAVE
OCCURRED IN THE LAST FEW MONTHS. THE PRESENT MEMORANDUM DEALS
ONLY WITH TRADE NEGOTIATIONS AND PROCEEDS ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT
ADEQUATE MACHINERY WILL BE DEvised CAPABLE OF ENSURING THE
ESSENTIAL LONG- TERM EQUILIBRIUM AND STABILITY IN THE MONETARY FIELD.

3. CHAPTERS IN THE DOCUMENT DEAL WITH THE FOLLOWING SUBJECTS:

I THE GENERAL OBJECTIVES OF THE NEGOTIATIONS PAGE 4

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 EC BRU 01958 02 OF 06 101413 Z

II INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMS TARIFFS PAGES 5-6

III NON- TARIFF BARRIERS PAGES 7-9

IV AGRICULTURE PAGES 10-12

V THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES PAGES 13-15

VI THE SAFEGUARD CLAUSE PAGES 16-17

I- GENERAL OBJECTIVES OF THE NEGOTIATIONS

ACOUNCIL RESOLUTION OF 13 DECEMBER 1971 STATED:

" THE CHANGES IN ECONOMIC RELATIONS NECESSITATE AN OVERALL
EXAMINATION OF THE WORLD ECONOMIC STRUCTURE AND THE CONDITIONS
FOR A NEW INTERNATIONAL BALANCE, WHICH WOULD ENABLE THE STANDARD

OF LIVING TO BE IMPROVED BY EXPANDING INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC RELATIONS AND LIBERALISING WORLD TRADE TO AN EVER WIDER EXTENT."

STARTING FROM THESE GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS, THE COMMUNITY'S OBJECTIVE IN THESE NEGOTIATIONS ARE DEFINED AS FOLLOWS:

1. TO CONSOLIDATE AND CONTINUE THE LIBERALISATION OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE ON THE BASIS OF RECIPROCITY AND MUTUAL ADVANTAGE;
2. TO IMPROVE THE OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE EXPANSION OF WORLD TRADE AND TO ENSURE A BETTER EQUILIBRIUM BETWEEN DEVELOPED AND DEVELOPING COUNTRIES AS REGARDS THE OPPORTUNITIES FOR THIS EXPANSION. THE COMMUNITY WILL, FOR ITS PART, CONTRIBUTE ACTIVELY TO THIS OBJECTIVE WITHOUT JEOPARDISING THE ADVANTAGES ENJOYED BY THOSE COUNTRIES WITH WHICH THE COMMUNITY HAS SPECIAL RELATIONS.

II - INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMS TARIFFS

1. AS FAR AS TARIFFS ARE CONCERNED, THE TRADE NEGOTIATIONS MUST LEAD TO A SIGNIFICANT LOWERING OF CUSTOMS TARIFFS.
2. THE FORMULA FOR LOWERING CUSTOMS TARIFFS ON INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS MUST BE SIMPLE AND GENERALLY APPLICABLE.
3. WHILE RECOGNISING THAT MUTUAL ADVANTAGE AND RECIPROCITY MUST BE SOUGHT IN THE OVERALL OUTCOME OF THE WHOLE RANGE OF THE NEGOTIATION, THE AIM SHOULD BE TO SEEK, SO FAR AS POSSIBLE, RECIPROCITY IN EACH INDIVIDUAL FIELD, IN PARTICULAR AS FAR AS TARIFFS ARE CONCERNED.
4. IT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED THAT THE TOTAL ELIMINATION OF ALL CUSTOMS TARIFFS MIGHT BE TAKEN AS A WORKING HYPOTHESIS FOR THESE NEGOTIATIONS. IN THE PRESENT STATE OF INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC RELATIONS THIS DOES NOT SEEM REALISTIC FOR TWO MAIN LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 EC BRU 01958 02 OF 06 101413 Z

REASONS. FIRST BECAUSE OF THE EXTENT OF CUSTOMS DUTIES ON

CERTAIN PRODUCTS AND IN CERTAIN COUNTRIES, WHICH PROTECT ECONOMIC SECTORS THAT ARE ALREADY EXPERIENCING REAL DIFFICULTIES IN STANDING UP TO COMPETITION; AND SECONDLY BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATION AND HARMONISATION OF NATIONAL POLICIES CONCERNING, FOR INSTANCE, TAXATION, SOCIAL LEGISLATION AND MEASURES TO STIMULATE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

5. THE FORMULA FOR LOWERING CUSTOMS TARIFFS MUST NECESSARILY TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE CONSIDERABLE DIFFERENCES WHICH EXIST BETWEEN THE TARIFFS APPLIED BY THE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES. QUITE APART FROM THE QUESTION OF THE GENERAL LEVEL OF TARIFFS, THERE ARE ALSO STRUCTURAL DIFFERENCES. SOME COUNTRIES APPLY TARIFFS ON A ROUGHLY HOMOGENEOUS LEVEL TO ALL PRODUCTS WHILE OTHERS APPLY VERY HIGH TARIFFS TO SOME PRODUCTS AND MUCH LOWER ONES TO OTHERS. THE FORMULA ADOPTED SHOULD AIM, WITHIN THE OVERALL OBJECTIVE OF

LOWERING TARIFFS, AT LEVELLING OFF THE DIFFERENCES CAUSED BY THESE PEAKS AND TROUGHS. THIS WOULD HAVE THEEFFECT OF CREATING MORE EQUITABLE CONDITIONS FOR TRADE AND DIMINISHING THE PRESENT IEQUALITIES IN THE TARIFF PROTECTION OF THE VARIOUS DEVELOPED COUNTRIES. THIS IS THE ONLY APPROACH WHICH WOULD MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO AVOID A SITUATION IN WHICH, FOLLOWING FURTHER REDUCTIONS OF CUSTOMS TARIFFS, SOME SHOULD BE SO LOW THAT CERTAIN COUNTRIES WOULD HAVE LITTLE HOPE OF SUBSEQUENTLY OBTAINING REDUCTIONS IN THE HIGHER CUSTOMS DUTIES WHICH OTHERS WOULD STILL BE MAINTAINING.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

ADP000

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 EC BRU 01958 03 OF 06 101530 Z

46

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 EURE-00 INRE-00 AGR-20 CEA-02 CIAE-00

COME-00 DODE-00 EB-11 FRB-02 H-02 INR-10 INT-08 L-03

LAB-06 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 AID-20 CIEP-02

SS-15 STR-08 TAR-02 TRSE-00 USIA-12 PRS-01 OMB-01

IO-12 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11 NEA-10 RSR-01 /220 W

----- 028240

P R 101215 Z APR 73

FM USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5023

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBUURG

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION OECD PARIS

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 3 OF 6 EC BRUSSELS 1958

6. THE FORMULA FOR LOWERING TARIFFS SHOULD THEREFORE BE BASED

ON THE PRINCIPLE OF THE HIGHER THE TARIFF THE GREATER THE REDUCTION OF CUSTOMS DUTY. A THRESHOLD OR FLOOR SHOULD BE SET BELOW WHICH NO REDUCTION WOULD BE REQUIRED, WHICH WOULD PREVENT THOSE COUNTRIES WITH HIGHLY DIVERSIFIED CUSTOMS TARIFFS BEING OBLIGED TO LOWER THEM TO SUCH AN EXTENT THAT IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO ACHIEVE RECIPROCITY.

7 THE FORMULA SHOULD TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THAT THE REAL LEVEL OF PROTECTION HAS TO BE CALCULATED ON THE BASIS OF THE VALUE ADDED.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 EC BRU 01958 03 OF 06 101530 Z

8. THIS GENERAL APPROACH SHOULD IN NO WAY EXCLUDE THE POSSIBILITY OF SEEKING, DURING THE NEGOTIATIONS, ON A BASIS OF RECIPROCITY, CONCESSIONS GOING BEYOND THE GENERAL RULE AND AIMED AT ELIMINATING CUSTOMS DUTIES ON CERTAIN PRODUCTS.

9. THE FURTHER LOWERING OF CUSTOMS TARIFFS INEVITABLY INVOLVES A REDUCTION IN THE PREFERENCE MARGIN FROM WHICH DEVELOPING COUNTRIES BENEFIT IN THOSE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES WHICH HAVE INTRODUCED THE GENERALISED PREFERENCE SCHEME. IN ANTICIPATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GENERALISED PREFERENCE SCHEME BY ALL DEVELOPED COUNTRIES, THE COMMUNITY SHOULD TAKE STEPS TO IMPROVE ITS OWN SCHEME IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DIRECTIVES GIVEN BY THE CONFERENCE HEADS OF STATE OR GOVERNMENT IN OCTOBER 1972. (SEE CHAPTER V ON DEVELOPING COUNTRIES.)

III - NON- TARIFF BARRIERS

1. THE DIVERSITY OF NON- TARIFF BARRIERS MAKES IT UNREALISTIC TO SEEK A SOLUTION OF GENERAL CHARACTER; THERE MUST THEREFORE BE A CASE BY CASE APPROACH WHILE STILL BEARING IN MIND THAT IN SOME CASES THERE IS AN INTERDEPENDENCE.

2. THE EXISTENCE OF SO MANY TYPES OF NON- TARIFF BARRIERS (CLASSIFIED BY GATT UNDER NEARLY THIRTY CHAPTER HEADINGS EACH SUBDIVIDED INTO A NUMBER OF MORE OR LESS SIMILAR INDIVIDUAL MEASURES APPLIED BY DIFFERNT COUNTRIES) SEEMS TO PRECLUDE FINDING SOLUTIONS FOR ALL THE MEASURES LISTED. IT IS THEREFORE DESIRABLE THAT CERTAIN TYPES OF MEASURES BE SELECTED ON WHICH NEGOTIATION WOULD TAKE PLACE BUT WITHOUT EXCLUDING THE POSSIBILITY THAT OTHER BARRIERS MAY BE ADDED TO THE LIST IN THE COURSE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS SHOULD THIS PROVE NECESSARY.

3. WORK HAS ALREADY BEGUN, OR WILL SHORTLY BEGIN, IN GATT OR THE OECD, ON SUBJECTS CHOSEN BY MUTUAL AGREEMENT WHICH WILL ALMOST CERTAINLY BE THE OBJECT OF NEGOTIATIONS. THESE CONSIST

OF QUANTITATIVE RESTRICTIONS (INCLUDING VOLUNTARY LIMITATION OF EXPORTS), CUSTOMS VALUATION, LICENSING SYSTEMS, TECHNICAL STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS, LABELLING AND PACKING, EXPORT SUBSIDIES AND OTHER AIDS AFFECTING COMMERCE, COUNTERVAILING DUTIES, AND GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 EC BRU 01958 03 OF 06 101530 Z

4. RECIPROCITY IS HARDER TO ASSESS OVER NON- TARIFF BARRIERS THAN OVER CUSTOMS DUTIES, SO A BROAD SPREAD OF SOLUTIONS WILL BE NEEDED TO MAKE UP A WORTHWHILE AND WELL- BALANCED PACKAGE.

5. MANY SIMILAR MEASURES ARE APPLIED BY A LARGE NUMBER OF COUNTRIES AND THEREFORE LEND THEMSELVES TO MULTILATERAL SOLUTIONS, WHETHER BY ABOLITION OR AMENDMENT OR BY AGREEMENT ON GREATER HARMONY OR DISCIPLINE. THESE SOLUTIONS MAY INVOLVE CONSTRAINTS OF VARYING DEGREES, AND MAY TAKE THE FORM IN SOME CASES OF INTERPRETATIVE NOTES TO THE EXISTING PROVISIONS OF THE GENERAL AGREEMENT, AND IN OTHERS OF GENERAL PRINCIPLES OR CODES OF BEHAVIOUR. ALTHOUGH IT IS CLEARLY DESIRABLE TO AIM AT THE MAXIMUM DEGREE OF BALANCE BETWEEN COMMITMENTS IN EACH SUBJECT NEGOTIATED, IT SHOULD BE RECOGNIZED THAT IN CERTAIN SUBJECTS THERE ARE FROM THE OUTSET SOME BUILT- IN IMBALANCES.

6. FOR CERTAIN MEASURES WHICH ARE APPLIED BY ONLY ONE OR TWO COUNTRIES, THE SOLUTION MAY TAKE THE FORM OF A SINGLE LIMITED DECISION (ABOLITION OR ADAPTATION), WITHOUT THERE BEING ANY NEED TO LAY DOWN GENERAL RULES.

7. THE COMMUNITY WILL HAVE TO SPECIFY THE NON- TARIFF BARRIERS OF ITS TRADING PARTNER WHICH IT WISHES TO SEE DEALT WITH IN THE NEGOTIATIONS. IT WILL NO DOUBT BE DESIRABLE TO SUPPLEMENT THE LIST OF SUBJECTS REFERRED TO IN PARAGRAPH 3 WITH A LIMITED NUMBER OF OTHER MEASURES. (THE WORKING PARTY ON TRADE QUESTIONS HAS BEEN INSTRUCTED TO PREPARE THIS DOSSIER.). FOR THEIR PART, THE COMMUNITY AND ITS MEMBER STATES MUST ALSO DECLARE THEIR READINESS TO NEGOTIATE ON SOME OF THE MEASURES THEY THEMSELVES APPLY, IN SEEKING A MULTILATERAL OR RESTRICTED SOLUTION. SINCE, IN THE NATURE OF THINGS, IT IS ESSENTIALLY THE MEMBER STATES' MEASURES THAT ARE AT ISSUE HERE, THEY MUST AGREE IN THE NEAR FUTURE ON A SUFFICIENT NUMBER OF NEGOTIABLE MEASURES TO ENABLE ADEQUATE RECIPROCITY TO BE OFFERED IN RETURN FOR WHAT THE COMMUNITY WILL BE SEEKING FROM ITS PARTNERS. (IT IS SUGGESTED THAT THE WORKING PARTY ON TRADE QUESTIONS BE INSTRUCTED TO AGREE SUCH A LIST.)

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

ADP000

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 EC BRU 01958 04 OF 06 101441 Z

42

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 EURE-00 INRE-00 AGR-20 CEA-02 CIAE-00

COME-00 DODE-00 EB-11 FRB-02 H-02 INR-10 INT-08 L-03

LAB-06 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 AID-20 CIEP-02

SS-15 STR-08 TAR-02 TRSE-00 USIA-12 PRS-01 OMB-01

IO-12 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11 NEA-10 RSR-01 /220 W

----- 027715

P R 101215 Z APR 73

FM USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5024

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION OECD PARIS

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 4 OF 6 EC BRUSSELS 1958

8. THE SOLUTIONS ARRIVED AT SHOULD BE ACCEPTED BY AS MANY COUNTRIES AS POSSIBLE IF THE EXISTING IMBALANCE BETWEEN THE VARIOUS CONTRACTING PARTIES IS NOT TO BE WORSENERED. IT SHOULD THEREFORE BE MADE CLEAR THAT ANY ADVANTAGES WHICH MIGHT DERIVE FROM SOLUTIONS COMPRISING OBLIGATIONS GOING BEYOND THE PRESENT GATT RULES WOULD BE RESERVED FOR COUNTRIES WHICH IN PRACTICE ABIDE BY THESE SOLUTIONS (CONDITIONAL APPLICATION OF THE MOST- FAVOURED- NATION CLAUSE).

9. WITH THIS SAME NEED FOR BALANCE IN MIND, ALL THE CONTRACTING PARTIES SHOULD CEASE TO BENEFIT FROM THE EXEMPTION PROVIDED

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 EC BRU 01958 04 OF 06 101441 Z

BY THE PROTOCOL OF PROVISIONAL APPLICATION.

10. THE AGREEMENT REACHED ON NON- TARIFF BARRIERS SHOULD INCLUDE APPROPRIATE MACHINERY FOR CONSULTATION AND THE SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES. THIS MACHINERY WOULD BOTH DEAL WITH DIFFERENCES IN INTERPRETATION OF THE AGREEMENT AND WITH ANY OUTSTANDING DIFFICULTIES NOT DEALT WITH IN THE NEGOTIATIONS OR WITH ANY NON- TARIFF BARRIERS WHICH MAY APPEAR AFTER CONCLUSION OF THE NEGOTIATIONS.

IV - AGRICULTURE

1. THE OBJECTIVES OF THE NEGOTIATIONS IN THE AGRICULTURAL SECTOR SHOULD BE IN HARMONY WITH THE GENERAL OBJECTIVES OF THE NEGOTIATIONS BUT SHOULD ALSO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE FUNDAMENTAL AND SPECIFIC CHARACTERISTICS OF AGRICULTURE.

2. TWO CHARACTERISTICS OF THE AGRICULTURAL SECTOR ARE THE UNIVERSAL EXISTENCE OF SUPPORT POLICIES - OF WHICH THE INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL ASPECTS ARE INEXTRICABLY LINKED - AND THE INSTABILITY OF WORLD MARKETS. THE SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE OF THE AGRICULTURAL NEGOTIATIONS SHOULD THEREFORE BE " THE EXPANSION OF TRADE IN STABLE WORLD MARKETS."

3. AS THE STRUCTURAL SITUATION IS AT THE ROOT OF MANY AGRICULTURAL PROBLEMS, THERE SHOULD BE AN UNDERTAKING, IN ORDER TO ATTAIN THIS OBJECTIVE, TO INTENSIFY STRUCTURAL REFORMS SO THAT MARKETING POLICIES AND PRICE POLICIES ARE BASED TO A GREATER EXTENT ON ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS.

4. THE CONDITIONS FOR EXPANDING TRADE WOULD BE MORE FAVOURABLE IF THE STABILITY OF WORLD MARKETS WAS BETTER ASSURED. THE BEST MEANS OF OBTAINING THIS OBJECTIVE WOULD BE THE ADOPTION OF A CODE OF GOOD CONDUCT COVERING EXPORT PRACTICES. FOR A NUMBER OF SPECIFIED PRODUCTS, COMPLEMENTARY COMMITMENTS COULD BE ENTERED INTO WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF INTERNATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS.

5. THE CODE OF GOOD CONDUCT ON EXPORT PRACTICES SHOULD AIM AT INTRODUCING MARKET DISCIPLINES WITH CONCERTED ACTION ON THE USE AND SCOPE OF THE RULES AGREED.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 EC BRU 01958 04 OF 06 101441 Z

6. FOR PRODUCTS SUCH AS CEREALS (WHEAT, FLOUR AND FEED

GRAINS), RICE, SUGAR AND THE MOST HOMOGENEOUS MILK PRODUCTS (E. G. MILK POWDER AND BUTTER) THE COMMUNITY WILL PROPOSE THE NEGOTIATION OF A PRICE MECHANISM (INCLUDING MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM PRICES) ACCOMPANIED BY MEASURES COVERING AN ADJUSTMENT OF SUPPLY, INCLUDING MEASURES OF STORAGE WHICH WOULD, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FACILITATE THE APPLICATION OF FOOD AID PROGRAMS. THE COMMUNITY CONSIDERS THAT THE BEST METHOD OF APPLYING SUCH ENGAGEMENTS WOULD BE BY THE NEGOTIATION OF INTERNATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS.

7. THIS REORDERING OF WORLD MARKETS WOULD MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO ADJUST CERTAIN ELEMENTS OF THE IMPORT SYSTEMS.

8. THE AIM OF ALL THESE MEASURES WOULD BE TO PROMOTE THE REGULAR EXPANSION OF TRADE. FOR ITS PART THE COMMUNITY WOULD APPLY THE INSTRUMENTS OF ITS COMMON AGRICULTURAL POLICY IN SUCH A WAY AS TO ENSURE THAT THE COMMITMENTS THUS UNDERTAKEN WERE RESPECTED.

9. THE PROBLEMS CAUSED BY THE HARMONIZATION OF LEGISLATION COVERING BOTH HUMAN AND PLANT HEALTH AND OF THE VARIOUS RULES ON THE USE AND TREATMENT OF PRODUCTS SHOULD ALSO BE COVERED IN THE NEGOTIATIONS.

10. ALTHOUGH THE MEASURES SET OUT ABOVE ARE AIMED AT IMPROVING WORLD MARKETS WHICH WILL ALSO BENEFIT DEVELOPING COUNTRIES, THE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES SHOULD ALSO TAKE ADDITIONAL ACTION ON THOSE PRODUCTS OF PARTICULAR INTEREST TO THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. THIS WOULD FOR EXAMPLE TAKE THE FORM OF MEASURES OF A PREFERENTIAL CHARACTER, WHICH WOULD GIVE THESE COUNTRIES A CHANCE TO INCREASE THEIR EXPORT REVENUE.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

ADP000

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE POSS DUPE

PAGE 01 EC BRU 01958 05 OF 06 101556 Z

46

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 EURE-00 INRE-00 AGR-20 CEA-02 CIAE-00

COME-00 DODE-00 EB-11 FRB-02 H-02 INR-10 INT-08 L-03

LAB-06 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 AID-20 CIEP-02

SS-15 STR-08 TAR-02 TRSE-00 USIA-12 PRS-01 OMB-01

IO-12 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11 NEA-10 RSR-01 /220 W

----- 028386

P R 101215 Z APR 73

FM USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5025

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION OECD PARIS

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 5 OF 6 EC BRUSSELS 1958

V. THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

1. THE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES HAVE AGREED TO TAKE PARTICULAR ACCOUNT IN THESE NEGOTIATIONS OF THE INTERESTS OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. THAT IS TO SAY, THE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES WILL NOT ONLY TRY TO ENSURE THAT THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES DO NOT SUFFER INDIRECT DISADVANTAGES, BUT WILL ALSO HELP TO EXPAND THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES' TRADE AND IMPROVE THEIR EXPORT REVENUE. THIS WAS EMPHASIZED IN THE DECLARATION OF INTENT MADE BY THE COMMUNITY ON 13 DECEMBER 1971; IN THE JOINT DECLARATION BY THE UNITED STATES AND THE COMMUNITY IN 1972; AND AGAIN IN THE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 EC BRU 01958 05 OF 06 101556 Z

COMMUNIQUE OF THE SUMMIT MEETING IN OCTOBER 1972; WHICH ALSO STATES THAT THE TASK WILL HAVE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED WITHOUT DETRIMENT TO THE ADVANTAGES ENJOYED BY THOSE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WITH WHICH THE COMMUNITY HAS SPECIAL RELATIONS. (C. F. SEPARATE COMMISSION MEMORANDUM ON THE PREPARATION OF NEGOTIATIONS WITH THESE COUNTRIES. IT IS CLEAR THAT A LINK WILL NEED TO BE MAINTAINED DURING THE COURSE OF THE TWO NEGOTIATIONS.)

THE COMMUNITY'S OBJECTIVE AS REGARDS THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES IN GENERAL SHOULD BE TO ACHIEVE A COHERENT BODY OF MEASURES AND A BALANCED CONTRIBUTION BY INDUSTRIALIZED COUNTRIES. THERE ARE, HOWEVER, GREAT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE LEVELS AND THE OPPORTUNITIES OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE VARIOUS DEVELOPING COUNTRIES, SO THE COMMUNITY WOULD WISH

TO RESERVE FOR ITSELF THE POSSIBILITY OF VARYING ITS ACTION TO MEET THE PARTICULAR NEEDS OF INDIVIDUAL COUNTRIES. SUCH VARIATION COULD RELATE, IN PARTICULAR, TO THE NATURE OF THE CONCESSIONS MADE TO DIFFERENT DEVELOPING COUNTRIES, TO THE CHOICE OF PRODUCTS, AND TO A DEGREE OF RECIPROCITY WHICH MIGHT BE REQUIRED OF THE MORE ADVANCED DEVELOPING COUNTRIES.

2. TARIFFS

(A) IN THE FIELD OF GENERALIZED PREFERENCES, THE COMMUNITY WOULD WISH TO IMPROVE THE SCHEME WHICH IT HAS BEEN APPLYING FOR NEARLY TWO YEARS NOW. BUT THESE IMPROVEMENTS MUST BE DEPENDENT UPON OTHER INDUSTRIALIZED COUNTRIES, AND IN PARTICULAR THE UNITED STATES, INTRODUCING A SCHEME COMPARABLE IN ITS EFFECTS WITH THE COMMUNITY SCHEME.

SUBJECT TO THIS CONDITION, THE SYSTEM OF GENERALIZED PREFERENCES COULD BE IMPROVED IN TWO WAYS;

-- BY INCLUDING A LARGER NUMBER OF PROCESSED AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS IN THE LIST OF PRODUCTS WHICH BENEFIT FROM PREFERENCES AND BY INCREASING THE MARGIN OF PREFERENCE FOR THOSE ALREADY INCLUDED;

-- BY RAISING THE QUANTITATIVE CEILINGS AND MAKING THE DETAILED RULES OF APPLICATION MORE FLEXIBLE.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 EC BRU 01958 05 OF 06 101556 Z

(B) THE PROPOSAL IN CHAPTER II THAT THERE SHOULD BE NO REDUCTION IN DUTIES BELOW A CERTAIN LEVEL WOULD MEAN IN EFFECT THAT A MARGIN OF PREFERENCE FOR DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WOULD BE MAINTAINED IN THESE SPECIAL CASES.

(C) FINALLY, THE COMMUNITY RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROPOSE TO OTHER DEVELOPED COUNTRIES IN THE COURSE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS THAT BY JOINT AGREEMENT EXCEPTIONS TO THE GENERAL FORMULA OF TARIFF REDUCTION MIGHT BE INTRODUCED FOR A SMALL NUMBER OF PRODUCTS WHICH ARE INCLUDED IN THE SYSTEM OF GENERALIZED PREFERENCES AND IN WHICH THE LESS- DEVELOPED COUNTRIES HAVE A PARTICULAR INTEREST.

3. NON- TARIFF BARRIERS.

IN THE FIELD OF NON- TARIFF BARRIERS THE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES SHOULD ENDEAVOUR TO TAKE PARTICULAR ACCOUNT OF THE INTERESTS OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES BOTH IN ADAPTING RULES AND IN REDUCING OR ABOLISHING CERTAIN QUANTITATIVE RESTRICTIONS WHICH ESPECIALLY AFFECT EXPORTS FROM DEVELOPING

COUNTRIES. IN RETURN THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES COULD MAKE A CONTRIBUTION WHICH WOULD BE IN THEIR OWN INTEREST BY SIMPLIFYING THEIR ADMINISTRATIVE SYSTEM FOR IMPORTS.

4. AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS.

(A) IN ANY INTERNATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS TO REGULATE THE MARKETS FOR CERTAIN AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS, THE INTERESTS OF THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT, IN PARTICULAR THROUGH EFFORTS TO LIGHTEN THE BURDEN WHICH SOME OF THE PROVISIONS COULD INVOLVE FOR THESE COUNTRIES.

(B) FOOD AID COMMITMENTS CAN BE ENVISAGED IN THE CONTEXT OF REGULATION OF THE MARKETS.

(C) MOREOVER, AS IS STATED IN CHAPTER IV, " THE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES SHOULD ALSO TAKE ADDITIONAL ACTION ON THOSE PRODUCTS OF PARTICULAR INTEREST TO THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. THIS WOULD FOR EXAMPLE TAKE THE FORM OF MEASURES OF A PREFERENTIAL CHARACTER, WHICH WOULD GIVE THESE COUNTRIES A CHANCE TO INCREASE THEIR EXPORT REVENUE."

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

ADP000

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 EC BRU 01958 06 OF 06 101458 Z

46

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 EURE-00 INRE-00 AGR-20 CEA-02 CIAE-00

COME-00 DODE-00 EB-11 FRB-02 H-02 INR-10 INT-08 L-03

LAB-06 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 AID-20 CIEP-02

SS-15 STR-08 TAR-02 TRSE-00 USIA-12 PRS-01 OMB-01

IO-12 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11 NEA-10 RSR-01 /220 W

----- 027844

P R 101215 Z APR 73

FM USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5026

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY PARIS
AMEMBASSY ROME
AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE
USMISSION GENEVA
USMISSION OECD PARIS
AMEMBASSY TOKYO

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 6 OF 6 EC BRUSSELS 1958

VI. SAFEGUARD CLAUSE.

1. THE OBJECT OF A SAFEGUARD CLAUSE MUST BE TO ENABLE PURELY TRANSITORY DIFFICULTIES TO BE OVERCOME OR TO GIVE THE BRANCHES OF ACTIVITY CONCERNED THE PERIOD OF ADAPTATION WHICH THEY NEED IN ORDER TO ADJUST THEMSELVES TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF INTERNATIONAL COMPETITION.

2. THE CURRENT PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE XIX OF THE GENERAL AGREEMENT SHOULD BE MAINTAINED AS THEY ARE. IT MUST, HOWEVER, BE CRECOGNIZED THAT THIS ARTICLE HAS PROVED DIFFICULT TO LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 EC BRU 01958 06 OF 06 101458 Z

OPERATE EFFECTIVELY. FOR THIS REASON IT MIGHT BE ADVISABLE TO SUPPLEMENT IT, ON THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THE COUNTRIES CONCERNED WOULD RETAIN THEIR RIGHT TO HAVE RECOURSE TO THE CURRENT PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE XIX. SUCH A SUPPLEMENT TO ARTICLE XIX COULD PROVIDE FOR IT TO BE USED IN A SELECTIVE FASHION.

3. THIS NEW SYSTEM WOULD ON THE ONE HAND INVOLVE BOTH GREATHER FLEXIBILITY IN THE TYPE OF SAFEGUARD MEASURES ALLOWED, AND A LIMITATION IN THE COMPENSATORY OR RETALIATORY RIGHTS OF THE THIRD COUNTRIES CONCERNED. ON THE OTHER HAND ANY COUNTRY HAVING RECOURSE TO IT WOULD HAVE TO ACCEPT INCREASED CONTROL PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO THE CONVERSION OR ADAPTATION OF THE ECONOMIC SECTORS CONCERNED.

4. THESE SUPPLEMENTARY ARRANGEMENTS MIGHT BE AS FOLLOWS: TYPE OF MEASURES AND THEIR SCOPE: TO PREVENT THE MEASURES FROM HAVING A MORE EXTENSIVE IMPACT THAN IS NECESSARY, A SELECTIVE APPLICATION AS REGARDS THE FORM OF THE MEASURE WOULD HAVE TO BE AUTHORIZED WHILE MAINTAINING NON- DISCRIMINATION AS REGARDS ITS SUBSTANCE. ALL IMPORTS WHICH CONTRIBUTE IN THE SAME WAY TO DISORGANIZING THE MARKETS WOULD BE DEALTH WITH IN THE SAME MANNER; THE CHARACTER OF THE SAFEGUARD MEASURE COULD VARY, ON THE UNDERSTANDING THAT IMPORTS WOULD NOT BE RESTRICTED TO A LEVEL LOWER THAN THAT REACHED DURING A SHORT REFERENCE PERIOD BEFORE THE MEASURE WAS APPLIED.

DURATION OF THE MEASURES: RECOURSE TO THEM SHOULD BE TEMPORARY, AND THEIR MODE OF OPERATION SHOULD BE DEGRESSIVE. IT WILL BE A NECESSARY CONDITION OF THE TEMPORARY NATURE OF THE MEASURES THAT AGREED ADJUSTMENTS TAKE PLACE DURING THE PERIOD OF RECOURSE TO THEM.

RIGHTS OF INJURED THIRD COUNTRIES: THE RIGHT OF INJURED COUNTRIES TO COMPENSATION OR TO COMPENSATORY WITHDRAWAL WOULD BE SUSPENDED PROVIDED ALL THE CONDITIONS ARE FULFILLED.

PROCEDURES: TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE GREATER FLEXIBILITY THUS PROVIDED FOR IN THESE ARRANGEMENTS, A PERMANENT INSTITUTIONAL SUPERVISORY MECHANISM CONSISTING OF LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 EC BRU 01958 06 OF 06 101458 Z

INDEPENDENT PERSONALITIES SHOULD BE SET UP TO WHICH POSSIBLE DISPUTES COULD BE REFERRED; IT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO MAKE THE EMERGENCY PROCEDURE REALLY EXCEPTIONAL. PRIOR NOTIFICATION SHOULD BE DEMANDED IN ALL CASES.

END TEXT.
GREENWALD

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a

*** Current Classification *** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 10 APR 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: cunninfx
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973ECBRU01958
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: n/a
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: EC BRUSSELS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730438/aaaahzmw.tel
Line Count: 851
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 16
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 73 EC BRUSSELS 1839 (NOTAL), 73 EC BRUSSELS 1871 (NOTAL)
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: cunninfx
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 03 AUG 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <03-Aug-2001 by worrelsw>; APPROVED <21-Sep-2001 by cunninfx>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> gwr 971215
Subject: EC COMMISSION' S MEMORANDUM ON AN OVERALL APPROACH TO THE MULTILATERAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS
TAGS: ETRD, BE, EEC
To: BON
BRUSSELS
COPENHAGEN
DUBLIN
EUR
LONDON
LUXEMBOURG

PARIS
SECSTATE WASHDC

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005